

1075

6/16

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
TRIAL COURT

WORCESTER, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
WORCESTER DIVISION
DOCKET NO. 2185 CV 686 B

MICHAEL J. SHIVICK,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL SALVIDIO &
ANNMARIE WILSON MONTIVERDI

Defendants,

CIVIL COMPLAINT
FOR DAMAGES AND
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

FILED

JUN 16 2021

ATTEST: *[Signature]* CLERK



PARTIES

1. The Plaintiff, Michael Shivick, is a Massachusetts resident with a listed address of 999 Stafford Street, Rochdale, Worcester County, Massachusetts.
2. The Defendant, Michael Salvidio, is a Massachusetts resident with a listed address of 299 Main Street, Apt #8, Oxford, Worcester County, Massachusetts.
3. The Defendant, Annmarie Wilson Montiverdi, is a Massachusetts resident with a listed address of 20 Park Lane, Leicester, Worcester County, Massachusetts.

FACTS

4. Mr. Shivick is an elected official in the Town of Leicester, currently serving a three year term on the Moose Hill Water Commission.

5. The purpose of the Moose Hill Water Commission is to certify Moose Hill Reservoir as a class A public water supply for the town of Leicester. *Exhibit A*, 2003 Intermunicipal Agreement between Town of Leicester and Leicester Water Supply District.
6. Mr. Shivick maintains two separate social media pages, one for issues related to his campaign, "Mike Shivick for Leicester," and a second for water related issues, "Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner."
7. On or about June 12, 2021, Mr. Salvidio began making a series of comments related to the Moose Hill Reservoir Project. *Exhibit B*, Facebook Comments by Michael Salvidio and Mike Salvidio.
8. Mr. Shivick answered the questions with direct facts and, in some cases, supporting documentation.
9. Mr. Salvidio continued what could be considered an attack on Mr. Shivick, by continuing to raise talking points that were already addressed by Mr. Shivick.
10. Mr. Salvidio enlisted at least one other person to engage in the same behavior with him.
11. When blocked from the Water Commissioner page, which is expressly stated an "unofficial" page, Mr. Salvidio created a second profile and used both in tandem, in addition to the third person he acted in accordance with.
12. When both were blocked, Mr. Salvidio created a third profile to engage in the same behavior.
13. Mr. Salvidio specifically noted his actions were motivated by hostility or revenge, and not for first amendment purposes.

14. Mr. Shivick spent most of his weekend trying to answer the repeated questions of Mr. Salvidio, and otherwise trying to adjust his social media page to avoid further harassment.
15. Mr. Shivick then restricted all comments on the thread to avoid further harassment.
16. The tandem then began posting comments on other posts on the page. *Exhibit B*.
17. On or about June 15, 2021, Ms. Montiverdi posted on the public “People of Leicester” Facebook social media page. *Exhibit C*, Facebook Posts.
18. The posts derided Mr. Shivick’s mental capacity and propensity for truthfulness.
19. Mr. Salvidio commented on Ms. Montiverdi’s post, in a similar derogatory way.
20. The parties were expressly reminded by a third party that Mr. Shivick is an attorney and could sue them for defamation, based on their public comments.
21. Mr. Salvidio derided Mr. Shivick’s abilities as an attorney, thinly disguised with a question mark to feign plausible deniability, and raised the insinuation that Mr. Shivick was not proficient at the practice of law, and brought up a DUI that Mr. Shivick has in college to bolster his point.
22. Ms. Montiverdi posted in response an “emoji” of a “crying laughing” emotion, replying to the third party that warned the parties about the possibility of a lawsuit.
23. All of the actions of Ms. Montiverdi were motivated by hostility, or a desire to abuse and damage the reputation of Mr. Shivick.
24. All of the actions of Mr. Salvidio were motivated by revenge, hostility, or a desire to abuse and control the Plaintiff.
25. Many of the comments made by Mr. Salvidio were statements that were false or a reckless disregard for truth, intended to be false and malicious, publicized in public on a

social media page in Mr. Shivick's home town, were stated in a willful and malicious fashion, and were directed at Mr. Shivick's propensity to engage in his chosen profession.

26. When made aware of possible suit, Mr. Salvidio posted a private message on the same public thread where he engaged in the behavior illustrated above.

27. Mr. Salvidio then began sending Mr. Shivick private messages trying to change his story.

28. Mr. Shivick informed him to stop contacting him and that he was seeking to file criminal charges.

29. Mr. Salvidio under his "Mike Salvidio" moniker continued to message Mr. Shivick, before he was blocked from further contact.

30. Mr. Shivick is an attorney in good standing in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, having been admitted in 2012, and is admitted to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in February 2021.

31. Mr. Shivick has an A+ rating with the Better Business Bureau.

32. Mr. Shivick has a 4.6 rating on Google reviews.

33. Mr. Shivick was named three years in a row, including 2021, as a "Top Three Consumer Lawyer" in Worcester County.

34. Mr. Shivick has suffered damage to his reputation for propensity to engage in his chosen profession, suffered physical manifestations such as lack of sleep from the extreme anxiety, despair, frustration, sadness, and worry incurred directly because of the acts of Mr. Salvidio and Ms. Montiverdi.

COUNT I

DEFAMATION

35. Mr. Shivick incorporates herein lines 1-28, above.

36. Mr. Salvidio and Ms. Montiverdi made public statements that were false and/or contained a reckless disregard for truth, were not aimed at any public matter, but instead were directed at or about Mr. Shivick, and were intended to be malicious and to cause damage to Mr. Shivick's reputation to engage in his chosen profession.
37. Mr. Shivick seeks actual and consequential damages of no less than \$50,000.00.

COUNT II

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

38. Mr. Shivick incorporates herein lines 1-33, above.
39. Mr. Shivick avers that the actions of Mr. Salvidio and Ms. Montiverdi are unconscionable and otherwise outside the bounds of all human decency.
40. Mr. Shivick claims damages for emotional distress of no less than \$5,000.00.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff Michael Shivick seeks this Court ORDER

1. Declare the Defendants engaged in willful and malicious defamation, specifically aimed at tarnishing Mr. Shivick's reputation as an attorney, and
2. Award Mr. Shivick damages of no less than \$55,000.00, and
3. Order such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,
MICHAEL SHIVICK,


 6/16/21
Michael J. Shivick
PO BOX 428, 999 Stafford Street
Rochdale, Massachusetts 01542
(774) 262-7281(p)
Michael.Shivick@live.com

EXHIBIT A

AGREEMENT FOR EXPANSION OF WATER AND SEWER SERVICE ALONG ROUTE 9
BETWEEN
LEICESTER WATER SUPPLY DISTRICT
AND
TOWN OF LEICESTER

① → THIS AGREEMENT made and entered into this 13th day of May, 2003, by and between the Leicester Water Supply District ("District"), a body corporate established under Chapter 171 of the Acts of 1887, as amended, and Chapter 181 of the Acts of 1893, as amended, acting by and through its duly constituted Board of Commissioners, having principal offices at 124 Pine Street, Leicester, Massachusetts 01524, and the Town of Leicester, a municipal corporation with a usual place of business at Washburn Square, Leicester, Massachusetts 01524, as it is acting through its Board of Selectmen and referenced as the "Town."

② → The immediate intent of this Agreement the Town and the District are mutually entering into is to provide an interim water supply and sewer connection for the rezoned portion of Route 9 West recently accepted by the District to be included within its boundaries. This Agreement will assist the Town in promoting the rezoned Route 9 West corridor for business activities.

③ → It is understood that current District plant capacities for water and sewer are limited and will only provide an interim solution. Therefore, the long-range goal of the Town and the District shall be the development of the Moose Hill Reservoir and/or Shaw Pond as a primary water source for the entire Town. The water transmission main being installed under this Agreement would then become a key component for the distribution of these water sources.

In consideration of the mutual promises herein contained, the Town and the District agree as follows:

1. The Town and the District have been duly authorized to enter into this Agreement by a vote of the Town Meeting for the Town of Leicester and a vote of the District Meeting for the Leicester Water Supply District, attested copies of which are attached hereto as "Exhibit 1" as to the Town vote, and "Exhibit 2" as to the District vote.
2. The District has expanded the District limits to include all the properties that are adjacent to and that abut Route 9 from the expanded District limits at Mt. Pleasant Drive to the Spencer Town line including the West Main Street section.
3. The Town has rezoned Route 9 from Residential to Business Industrial Zoning.
- ④ → 4. The Town shall construct a Water Line in Route 9 and West Main Street and Water Booster Station on property purchased by the District in the vicinity of 1340 Main Street also known as Mt. Pleasant. The Water Line shall be financed and paid for by the town and constructed under Massachusetts Highway Project 600858-02. The Water Booster Station shall be financed and paid for by the town and constructed as part of a joint Town/District construction project.

5. The District shall construct a Sewer Line in Route 9 and West Main Street and Sewer Pump Station on or adjacent to Town Beach Road. The Sewer Line shall be financed and paid for by the District and constructed under Massachusetts Highway Project 600858-02. The Sewer Pump Station shall be constructed by the District.
6. The District shall provide water to the water transmission line from the intersection of Route 9 and Route 56 to the extension on West Main Street. The connection to the water booster station shall be built by the Town on Route 9 on property purchased by the District in the vicinity of 1340 Main Street also known as Mt. Pleasant. The water line from Route 56 to the pump station shall be utilized as the feed line to the booster station until the Moose Hill Reservoir facility is in operation.
7. The District shall provide sewer service to the existing residential properties and to all current and future commercial and industrial properties within the District.
8. The District shall provide water service and limited fire protection service west to Spencer Town Line including West Main Street through the water main from the booster station until such time as Moose Hill Reservoir facility pumps water from the reservoir.
9. Ownership of the water mains and Water Booster Station, after construction and acceptance by the Town, shall be relinquished to the District with the perpetual rights reserved to the Town to transmit water through the water mains from the Moose Hill facility to the distribution points at Route 9 and Route 56. The connection to the District system shall remain and shall be used as a distribution connection between the new water transmission main and the existing distribution main of the District for metering purposes from the Moose Hill Reservoir facility. The District shall meter any water usage at the District's expense once water is supplied from Moose Hill Reservoir, for payment to the Town at a rate and method of payment to be mutually agreed upon by the Town and the District.
10. The District shall construct and own a water storage tank at the District's expense at a later date to provide fire flow storage and future water storage from the Moose Hill Reservoir facility. Once the Town utilizes said tank for water storage, any maintenance and/or use costs shall be negotiated between the Town and the District.
11. All connections made to the newly installed water mains in the Route 9 West corridor shall be serviced by the District. All connection/entrance fees shall be determined by and belong to the District.
12. All operational and maintenance costs related to the water mains and water booster station installed shall be the responsibility of the District.

13. Once Moose Hill Reservoir facility water is provided to the water mains, the water mains shall also become a distribution/transmission main from the Moose Hill Reservoir facility. The booster pump station shall become a standby station in the event the Moose Hill Reservoir facility is taken off line or placed out of service. Any connections made to the water main between the facility and the Route 9/Route 56 intersection shall be metered for water and the District shall compensate the Town for the water used. The rate for water so metered shall be determined by the formula described on "Exhibit 3" attached hereto.

14. Connections to the water transmission mains, which shall include metering devices, structures, and appurtenances at the Route 9--Route 56 termination for the Cherry Valley and Rochdale District and the Hillcrest District shall be the responsibility of each District. No water shall be provided to these Districts until Moose Hill Reservoir facility is supplying water unless otherwise agreed to by the Leicester Water Supply District.

15. This agreement is entered into under the authority of Mass. General Laws Chapter 40, Section 4A, shall be governed by the terms of said Chapter 40, section 4A, and shall have a term of twenty-five years beginning on the date of the execution of this Agreement.





16. Annually during construction, the Town and the District shall cooperate in conducting an audit of the funds so expended under this Agreement and will provide a consolidated financial statement in a form approved by the Treasurer of the District and the Accountant of the Town setting forth all funds received by the project and expended together with such other pertinent financial information as may be deemed necessary by the respective officers of each party. Upon completion of the project, the Town and District shall prepare a final audit report for the project.

17. The financial liability of each party shall not exceed the amount appropriated by the Town at its town meetings, either annual or special, and by the District at its district meetings, either annual or special.


18. Annually, the Town, represented by a member of the Board of Selectmen, a member of the Moose-Hill Water Commission and the Town Administrator, shall meet with the District Commissioners to review and discuss the current water and sewer capacities and water distribution system of the District. Information shared through this meeting will be utilized by all parties to promote the development of Moose Hill Reservoir and/or Shaw Pond as a primary water source and to market the Route 9 West corridor for business development.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Town and the District have executed this document in the name of the inhabitants of the Town of Leicester and the Leicester Water Supply District, this 13th day of May, 2003.




TOWN OF LEICESTER
By its Board of Selectmen:

Approved as to form:


Town Counsel

LEICESTER WATER SUPPLY DISTRICT
By its District Commissioners:



District Counsel

EXHIBIT B

From: attorney.shivick@aol.com,
To: dorayp@leicesterpd.org,
Subject: Cyber Harassment
Date: Wed, Jun 16, 2021 12:28 am

Attachments:

Lt. Doray,

Based on the below I would like Mike Salvidio charged with violation of MGL s.265 s.43a, criminal harassment.

He has been harassing me on social media over the last week. When banned or blocked he makes a new profile, like the Thomas Armstrong one, pictured below, and continues. The second set of harassment involves him intentionally slandering me on social media, which he knows is intentionally false. He specifically notes in one of the comments he is doing this because he felt I didn't answer his question sufficiently - not because it's his first amendment right thereby abrogating his defense.

Mr. Salvidio has been a pattern of willful and malicious conduct, aimed at me, which has persisted over a period of time, which has caused me alarm, and would cause a reasonable person to feel distressed.

There is probably enough to also charge him and Nate Letendre with conspiracy to engage in criminal harassment.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

11:59



Manage



Follow



Find support or report profile



Block



Search Profile

Mike's Profile link

Mike's personalized link on Facebook.

<https://www.facebook.com/myke.salvideo>

Copy Link

Copy Link



11:59



< Thomas Armstrong



Thomas Armstrong (Brown)



About

Photos

Thomas's Posts

Thomas Armstrong (Brown)



About

Photos

Thomas's Posts



Thomas Armstrong updated his cover photo.



11:59



Manage



Find support or report profile



Block



Search Profile

Thomas's Profile link

Thomas's personalized link on Facebook.

<https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100014985785060>


Copy Link

12:00



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post


Water & Sewer | Leicester MA



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

Here is a copy of the moose hill feasibility study for those with questions:



Michael Salvidio


What about the contaminated water that flows in through an active junkyard? Can't be safe for drinking.



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

Michael Salvidio it's already certified as a public water supply. Under your theory both Quabbin and Wachusett reservoir are unusable.



flows in through an active junkyard?
Can't be safe for drinking.



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Michael Salvidio it's already certified as
a public water supply. Under your
theory both Quabbin and Wachusett
reservoir are unusable.



Mike Salvidio

it's not a
theory and neither of those places
have junkyards that sit on major
inlets.



Clear search for Michael Salvidio - Water



12:00



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Mike Salvidio

it's not a theory and neither of those places have junkyards that sit on major inlets.

Also moose hill is a puddle compared to the Q or Wachusett so there really is no comparison

Like Reply



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

YOU'RE right it's not a theory it's more of a hypothesis at best. The studies already exist and it's already certified so those issues are moot. You can argue in circles for whatever reason you want.

Like Reply



Mike Salvidio

so are you saying the junkyard doesn't exist?

Like Reply



Author

issues are moot. You can argue in circles for whatever reason you want.

Like Reply



Mike Salvidio

so are you saying the junkyard doesn't exist?

Like Reply



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Mike Salvidio no I'm saying you're on my page* intentionally over hyping a non factor which has already been taken into consideration in past studies,



Comments by Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner



12:00



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post

**Mike Salvidio**

so are you saying
the junkyard doesn't exist?

Like Reply



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Mike Salvidio no I'm saying you're
on my page* intentionally over
hyping a non factor which has
already been taken into
consideration in past studies,
which resolved the issues you're
trying to make relevant. Have a
good day

Like Reply

**Mike Salvidio**

it started as a simple
comment which was deleted
instead of answered. And if you
think this is over hyping then you
are grossly mistaken.

My original comment simply asked
when tests were last done and if
the junkyard or beaver feces were
any kind of issue



Mike Salvidio

it started as a simple comment which was deleted instead of answered. And if you think this is over hyping then you are grossly mistaken.

My original comment simply asked when tests were last done and if the junkyard or beaver feces were any kind of issue.

Instead of answering the question it was deleted and I was blocked from making further comments until today.

Reply

1



Comment by Michael Shields

Walter



12:00



< Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Nate Letendre

it was tested... in

1987 lol

Like Reply



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

you've changed your syntax and grammar in an attempt to be taken more seriously. Noted.

Like Reply



Author



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

YOU'RE right it's not a theory it's more of a hypothesis at best. The studies already exist and it's already certified so those issues are moot. You can argue in circles for whatever reason you want.

Like Reply



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

YOU'RE right it's not a theory it's more of a hypothesis at best. The studies already exist and it's already certified so those issues are moot. You can argue in circles for whatever reason you want.



Like Reply



Reply

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Mike Salvidio no I'm saying you're on my page* intentionally over hyping a non factor which has already been taken into consideration in past studies, which resolved the issues you're



Over 1000 people have viewed this message.  



12:01



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre your* the water was tested in the SEA consultants study. Ignoring that doesn't strengthen your position



Nate Letendre

was it tested in the spring after the ground thawed or after heavy rain? Or was it tested in the middle of a drought? These factors make a huge difference. If tests were done why does your report read as though water quality is unknown ?



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre irrelevant as the source was certified. Good luck



Nate Letendre

Good luck to me? More like good

12:02



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post

**Nate Letendre**

Have any tests been done to see if the water is of drinking quality with all the beaver feces?



Auth

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

Nate Letendre same as above - your reasoning necessarily assumes that both Quabbin and Wachusett reservoirs are invalid as well as Henshaw Pond, all of which are class A public water supplies. Whomever is giving you other information should be questioned. Thanks for your consideration.

Reply

**Nate Letendre**

no, not comparable... you're comparing an 85 acre pond to a 4500 acre (Wachusette) and 25,000 acre (Q). When dealing with contaminants you need to look at PPM measurements. Horrible

THANKS FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

Reply



Nate Letendre

no, not comparable... you're comparing an 85 acre pond to a 4500 acre (wachusette) and 25,000 acre (Q). When dealing with contaminants you need to look at PPM measurements. Horrible examples...

Like Reply

1



Attn:

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre I disagree - it's a



Comment is Michael Shivick Wat



12:02



< Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
 Nate Letendre I disagree - it's a certified public water source, meaning those issues have already been considered. You're now engaged in shift of focus, as your initial argument was that fish procreate in water or something to that* effect.

1:00 PM - Reply



Nate Letendre

no it wasn't... it was regarding contaminants in general... you're comparing a pond to two massive lakes. Was the water not deemed unsafe to drink and would require an on-site water treatment facility?

2:00 PM



Author

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
 Nate Letendre Yes it was certified class B public water supply in 1987

general... you're comparing a pond to two massive lakes. Was the water not deemed unsafe to drink and would require an on-site water treatment facility?

Reply



Action

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre Yes it was certified class B public water supply in 1987 by the DEP. what are you citing in opposition?

Like Reply



Action

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner



Comment by Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner



12:02



< Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Author
Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre Yes it was certified class B public water supply in 1987 by the DEP. what are you citing in opposition?

Reply



Author
Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre your argument again is flawed based on generalities that have no basis. Literally baseless.

Reply



Nate Letendre
1987... I'm sure the last 35 years of rotting vehicles from the junkyard haven't changed anything... you're basing your argument off testing 35 years ago... I'd say mine holds more weight just using basic commons sense, however I realize that's lost these days.

Reply



Author

1987... I'm sure the last 35 years of rotting vehicles from the junkyard haven't changed anything... you're basing your argument off testing 35 years ago... I'd say mine holds more weight just using basic commons sense, however I realize that's lost these days.



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Nate Letendre I'd say your comments will be given my full consideration

12:03



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

Office Hours

Monday - Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM



Like



Comment



Share

Most Relevant



Thomas Armstrong

Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing?

We would like to know at least one point that was made that was not factual.... We will wait.

Like Reply



Bill Stone

With funding for infrastructure the current



Thomas Armstrong

Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing?

We would like to know at least one point that was made that was not factual.... We will wait.

re: [redacted]



Bill Stone

With funding for infrastructure the current topic in Washington, now is exactly the right time to quickly move forward with the plans already in place, as well as finish the rest of the planning. Contact with Senators and Congress persons a MUST. Good to see you on top of this, Mike.



Comment on Michael Smith's Wall Post



12:03



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner added an event.

Office Hours

Leicester, MA

Like

Comment

Share

Promote Your Event

Get more responses for your event "Office Hours".

1



Nate Letendre

Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing? I'd like to know one point I made that was not factual... I'll wait


Like Comment



Mike Salvidio

Why would you turn comments off? Not

1



Nate Letendre

Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing? I'd like to know one point I made that was not factual... I'll wait

13:01



Mike Salvidio


Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing?
We would like to know at least one point that was made that was not factual.... We will wait.

13:01



Nate Letendre

Why would you turn comments off? Not capable of conversing?



13:01

13:01

13:01



12:04



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post



Michael Salvidio

What about the contaminated water that flows in through an active junkyard? Can't be safe for drinking.



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner
Michael Salvidio it's already certified as a public water supply. Under your theory both Quabbin and Wachusett reservoir are unusable.



Mike Salvidio

it's not a theory and neither of those places have junkyards that sit on major inlets.

Also moose hill is a puddle compared to the Q or Wachusett so there really is no comparison



Mike Salvidio

are you saying



Mike Salvidio

it's not a theory and neither of those places have junkyards that sit on major inlets.

Also moose hill is a puddle compared to the Q or Wachusett so there really is no comparison

Mike Reply



Mike Salvidio

so are you saying the junkyard doesn't exist?

Mike Reply



Mike Salvidio

it started as a simple



Click here

to view this message



12:04



Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner's Post

so there really is no comparison

1 reply · Reply



Mike Salvidio

so are you saying
the junkyard doesn't exist?

1 reply · Reply



Mike Salvidio

it started as a simple
comment which was deleted
instead of answered. And if you
think this is over hyping then you
are grossly mistaken.

My original comment simply asked
when tests were last done and if
the junkyard or beaver feces were
any kind of issue.

Instead of answering the question
it was deleted and I was blocked
from making further comments
until today.

1 reply · Reply



Nate Letendre

it was tested... in

when tests were last done and if the junkyard or beaver feces were any kind of issue.

Instead of answering the question it was deleted and I was blocked from making further comments until today.



Nate Letendre

it was tested... in

1987 lol



Michael Shivick

Michael Shivick, Water Commissioner

you've changed your



Comment to Michael Shivick Water



EXHIBIT C



Annmarie Wilson Montiverdi

You will never get a straight answer from Mr Schivick don't wast your time. He does not have e mental capacity to answer such complex questions

Like Reply

2



Mike Salvidio

I learned that pretty quick.

Like Reply

1



Write a comment ..



News Feed



Marketplace



Groups



Pages



Menu



10:26 ↗

LTE



Mike's Post

Reply



Brian BJ Jubinville

and he is a lawyer and will sue you for slander

Reply



Mike Salvidio

how good of a lawyer? Didn't he get a DUI?

Reply



Annmarie Wilson Montiverdi

👍 👍 👍 👍

Reply



Lois Dorr-Wicklund

As far as I know the water is not being tested because there is no need it's only being used right now as a Flood control project

1 | [reply](#)



Lois Dorr-Wicklund

As far as contamination there are Monitoring Wells at the junkyard

1 | [reply](#)



Steve Pawlowskis

Thank You, do you know if they are monitored by DEP?

[Reply](#)



Lois Dorr-Wicklund

they're under DEPs control

1 | [Reply](#)



[Write reply...](#)



[Write a comment...](#)



[News Feed](#)



[Marketplace](#)



[Groups](#)



[Pages](#)



[Menu](#)

Sincerely,

Michael J. Shivick, Esq.

(From my mobile handset)



Annmarie Wilson Montiverdi

You will never get a straight answer from Mr Schivick don't wast your time. He does not have e mental capacity to answer such complex questions

Like Reply

2



Mike Salvidio

I learned that pretty quick.

Like Reply

1



Write a comment...



News Feed



Marketplace



Groups



Pages



Menu



10:26 ↗

• LTE ■



Mike's Post

Reply



Brian BJ Jubinville

and he is a lawyer and will sue you for slander

Reply



Mike Salvidio

how good of a lawyer? Didn't he get a DUI?

Reply



Annmarie Wilson Montiverdi

ha ha ha

Reply

1:38



Mike Salvidio



Facebook

You're not friends on Facebook

5 mutual friends including Brian Carney and Sandra Lee Strait

1:08 PM

Hi Mike,

First off I want to say I'm not here to fight what you are trying to do with Moose Hill.

I just had a few questions because I was generally curious.

I made a post in the Leicester page to get answers once I realized I couldn't get them from you.

I love the town of Leicester and would like to see it return to what it once was before it's too far gone.

If there is any way that I can help that happen then I am more than willing to put in the work.



1:36 PM



You can now message and call each other and see info like Active Status and when you've read messages.



Charged with what?



Aa

